

**DRAFT**

Minutes of the meeting of the  
**Reigate AND BANSTEAD LOCAL COMMITTEE**  
held at 2.00 pm on 5 March 2018  
at Reigate Town Hall, Castlefield Road, Reigate, Surrey RH2 0SH.

**Surrey County Council Members:**

- \* Mr Jeff Harris (Chairman)
- \* Ms Barbara Thomson (Vice-Chairman)
- \* Mrs Natalie Bramhall
- Mr Jonathan Essex
- \* Mr Bob Gardner
- Dr Zully Grant-Duff
- Mr Ken Gulati
- \* Mrs Kay Hammond
- Mr Nick Harrison
- \* Mr Graham Knight

**Borough / District Members:**

- \* Cllr Mrs Rosemary Absalom
- \* Cllr Derek Allcard
- \* Cllr Rod Ashford
- \* Cllr Michael Blacker
- Cllr John Godden
- Cllr Frank Kelly
- \* Cllr Steve McKenna
- \* Cllr Tony Schofield
- \* Cllr Mrs Rachel Turner
- \* Cllr Jonathan White

\* In attendance

---

**1/18 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE [Item 1]**

Apologies were received from Mrs Zully Grant-Duff, Mr Ken Gulati, Mr Nick Harrison and Mr Jonathan Essex.

**2/18 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]**

The minutes of the meeting held on 04 December 2017 were agreed to be a true record.

**3/18 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]**

No declarations of interest were received.

**4/18 PETITIONS [Item 4]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

The petition was presented by Mr Colin Sutherland MBE on behalf of the Park Road Residents Association (Banstead). Petition details and officer response are included in the supplementary agenda papers.

The divisional member (not present) submitted a letter in support, read out by the Chairman, stressing his support in particular for:

- additional signage to reinforce the speed limit and a refresh of existing signs (northern section)
- improved safety of pedestrians
- investigation of a lower speed limit on the southern section and feasibility of advisory signage to deter large vehicles (save for access)
- possible use of developer's contributions from local developments

The AHM confirmed that the location would be added to the speed assessment list on the forward plan.

The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) agreed to:

- (i) Note the officer's comment

**5/18 FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 5]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

(For questions and responses – see supplementary papers)

Catherine Tully had submitted a written question and received the response in advance of the meeting as well as information on applying for the 'stopping up' of a road.

As supplementary she asked whether it would be possible to carry out some speed monitoring and to enforce the 'No Entry' sign.

The divisional member was not present but the AHM agreed to consult with him on adding the site to the list of potential speed surveys.

The Chairman agreed to discuss with the divisional member, writing to the borough commander to request enforcement action in that location.

-----

Matthew Woods was present on behalf of the group Cycle Redhill and Reigate which had submitted a number of questions and had received

responses in advance of the meeting. (Questions and responses attached to minutes).

He thanked officers for the answers he had received to the questions submitted at short notice.

As a supplementary regarding the A25 Buckland Road gateway scheme he asked for clarification on the 'dedicated' cycle lane that had been referred to.

The AHM explained that the term 'dedicated' in this instance had been used to describe a lane that was just for the use of cyclists and not combined with pedestrians.

The divisional member for Redhill West and Meadvale stressed it was important to acknowledge the amount of work already done to facilitate cycling in the area, but the introduction of further schemes will be limited in part due the county council's difficult financial situation but also the need to balance the needs of other road users.

The divisional member undertook to clarify with Reigate Borough Council the terms of the Public Space Protection that has been implemented in part of Redhill town centre.

#### **6/18 FORMAL MEMBER QUESTIONS [Item 6]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

(Questions and responses in supplementary papers)

1. Ken Gulati had submitted a written question and had received a written response in advance of the meeting. He was not present to ask a supplementary.
2. Barbara Thomson had submitted a written question and received a written response in advance of the meeting. As a supplementary she asked why, if the whole purpose of the bid to Transport for London was to make safety improvements, this junction (identified as one of the top most dangerous junctions by them) was not treated as a priority?

The AHM explained that it had not been possible to include a proposal to re-design the junction within the funding envelope on offer and it would require the acquisition of a substantial amount of common land. Officers had made some suggestions as to changes in traffic signal timings and modelling of these would be carried out by the end of March 2018.

Officers acknowledged that there had been a lack of communication with local members who had been involved in discussions on this issue some months ago and the AHM agreed to follow up on this and would come back with a response through the local committee.

The junction would remain a priority for when funding might become available.

**7/18 RECOMMENDATIONS AND DECISIONS TRACKER [FOR INFORMATION] [Item 7]**

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) agreed to note the progress on schemes and to remove from the tracker, those items designated as complete.

**8/18 UPDATE FROM CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR INFORMATION] [Item 8]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

**Member discussion – key highlights**

1. The Chairman asked the Cabinet Member for Highways to pass on the Committee's thanks to officers on the way they handled operations during the recent spell of extreme winter weather.
2. Improved communications during this period had been vital and the Cabinet Member had disseminated information through local members and used social media for key messages.
3. Following his attendance at the meeting in September, the Cabinet Member wanted to provide members with an update on how money was being spent locally.
4. The main report included revised information on defects on the highway, which should be clearer and easier to understand.
5. A revised version of Annex 1 is attached and includes minor amendments to some signal schemes.
6. Information in Annex 1 has been improved to give more detail when schemes have been deferred.
7. Members were invited to advise the AHM by email of any schemes they wanted to query in the 2018/19 programme.
8. The AHM will compile a list of questions and responses which will be made available to the whole committee.
9. This new process still needs to be refined and it is important to start conversations about schemes planned for 2019/20 (Annex 3). The recent spell of bad weather may have changed the priority on roads that have been seriously affected.
10. The list of schemes for 2018/19 will be published on the county council website and reviewed every three months, with reasons given for any deferment.
11. The criteria for spending the new Member Local Highways Fund had not yet been finalised but this new money would enable councillors to react to local issues.
12. To help with this members would be provided with a menu of prices so that they would know the approximate cost of a job.
13. In addition the Cabinet Member would be challenging the council's contractor to deliver some basic schemes within three months.
14. AHM will clarify the meaning of QBC on page 67 of Annex 3.

15. Members highlighted that there were problems with communications on highways matters, particularly with regard to the timeliness and accuracy of messages.
16. The Cabinet Member agreed that communication was key and that messages needed to be clearer and less technical in content. This aspect had improved but further progress was required.
17. He also agreed that more needed to be done around managing contracts that had not been fulfilled. He would continue to challenge on such occasions and would push officers to do the same.
18. Members highlighted the problems regarding the new speed cameras on the A217 where two are already missing. AHM to follow up.
19. In her absence the divisional member for Reigate had submitted a number of written questions/queries on this item, which the AHM will respond to outside of the meeting.

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) agreed to:

- (i) Comment on the information

**9/18 HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME REVENUE BUDGET 2018/19  
[EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION] [Item 9]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

**Member discussion – key highlights**

1. Members welcomed the increase in revenue funding for 2018/19.
2. This money cannot be used for LSR, which is a centrally funded capital programme.
3. It was proposed to increase funding of the community gang so that it would operate for 52 weeks instead of one in four; rural areas in particular would benefit.
4. Members were generally unhappy as to the formula for calculating the extra revenue funding and were of the view that Reigate and Banstead should receive a larger share.
5. Previously road length and the population statistics from 2011 had been used to calculate the proportion of funding to be allocated; instead it is being divided equally between the eleven districts and boroughs.
6. Factoring in the additional money from the new Member Local Highways fund the local committee would have approximately the same amount available to it, as it would have had if the previous formula had been applied.
7. Members did not support this view as they felt that the extra allowances did not fully make up the difference.
8. The Area Highways Manager noted the comments and agreed to feed them back.

**The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) resolved to:**

- (i) Approve the revised allocation of the Local Committee's devolved revenue maintenance budget as set out in para. 2.2 of this report;
- (ii) Note the Members Local Highways Fund as detailed in para. 2.7 and 2.8 of this report; and
- (iii) Agree that the revenue maintenance budget and the Members Local Highways Fund be managed by the Reigate and Banstead Maintenance Engineer on members' behalf

**Reasons for Recommendations:**

To agree the allocation of the Reigate and Banstead Local Committee's devolved revenue maintenance budget and how works are going to be managed on members' behalf.

**10/18 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES 2017/18 - END OF YEAR UPDATE [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR INFORMATION] [Item 10]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) agreed to note the contents of the report.

**11/18 LOCAL TRANSPORT STRATEGY AND FORWARD PROGRAMME UPDATE [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION} [Item 11]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager  
Tamsin Ward, Transport Planner

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

**Member discussion: key highlights**

1. Members agreed that there was a need to think more strategically about the road network in light of the amount of development in the borough.
2. The AHM explained that there was much collaborative work going on behind the scenes not only with Highways England but also with neighbouring councils, where their plans impacted on the Borough's local road network.
3. Some members raised concerns about those schemes looking to combine footways with cycle lanes as they believed they posed a risk to pedestrians.

4. Members were invited to put forward any schemes they would like to see added to the strategy/forward programme.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

#### **The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) agreed:**

- (i) To endorse holding a 6 week online public consultation on the updated draft Local Transport Strategy and Forward Programme.
- (ii) To delegate authority to the Area Highways Manager, Local Committee Chairman, Vice-chairman and Lead Officer (Transport Policy), to finalise the Strategy and Forward Programme following public consultation and recommend its approval to Cabinet.

### **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Delivering an updated Reigate and Banstead Local Transport Strategy will support the County Council's priorities to promote sustainable economic growth and secure investment in infrastructure. It also supports the Borough Council's objectives to provide effective services, infrastructure and transport options. The Reigate and Banstead Local Transport Strategy will benefit Surrey residents and businesses through accommodating sustainable population growth, driving the economy and reducing impacts on the environment.

## **12/18 EARLY HELP PRIORITIES FOR REIGATE & BANSTEAD [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION] [Item 12]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Matt Raleigh, Service Manager, Surrey Family Services

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

### **Member discussion – key highlights**

1. The Vice-Chair would support a fifth partnership being established in the Woodhatch area.
2. The Service Manager invited members to contact him outside of the meeting to give their feedback on the information provided in the report.
3. In her capacity as Chairman of the Early Help Advisory Board the member for Horley West, Salfords and Sidlow stressed the importance of partnership working particularly in light of the county council's difficult financial position.
4. The Chairman also acknowledged the enthusiasm of partners to work together to take the service forward. Some key organisations were not currently represented on the EHAB and this would have to be investigated.

**The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) agreed to:**

- (i) Provide feedback on the latest early help developments in Reigate and Banstead, including proposed early help priorities for re-commissioning and the location of Local Family Partnerships
- (ii) Endorse the Local Committee representatives to the local Early Help Advisory Board, for the remainder of 2017/18 and 2018/19

**REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

We want Local Members to be informed about the proposals that we have been developing in partnership for the early help system in Surrey. We believe these proposals will help us realise better outcomes for children and young people within the early help resources we have available. We also know however that early help is most effective when it is planned and delivered locally, so we are seeking the advice of the Local Committee to inform our identified local priorities.

Meeting ended at: 3.31 pm

---

**Chairman**

Questions from members of the public

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL**

**LOCAL COMMITTEE (REIGATE & BANSTEAD)**



**DATE:** 05 MARCH 2018  
**LEAD OFFICER:** SARAH J SMITH, PARTNERSHIP COMMITTEE OFFICER

**SUBJECT:** QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

**DIVISION:** EARLSWOOD & REIGATE, REDHILL WEST & MEADVALE, REDHILL EAST, REIGATE

Questions from Cycle Redhill and Reigate:

- Can you please advise what steps and process we need to take to be officially recognised by the council so we can become actively involved in consultations on transport plans and the development of the local transport strategy and local cycle plan?

**Response:** The Transport Policy Team within the county council maintain a list of organisations who will be proactively notified of any consultations on major highway improvement projects and policies. Cycle Redhill and Reigate ([cyclerandr@gmail.com](mailto:cyclerandr@gmail.com)) will be added to the list and so will be notified of any such consultations.

-Would any of the councillors or council officers join us on a local 'cycle safari' looking at current cycle infrastructure to get a better understanding of what works and doesn't work for cycle users?

**Response:** The county council's cycling officer has a good knowledge of the existing cycling facilities throughout the Borough of Reigate & Banstead and the potential limitations and opportunities. The officer would be happy to meet representatives of Cycle Redhill and Reigate to consider ways of improving the network and will be in touch in due course. Local members can be invited and/or notified of any issues raised.

- Cycle infrastructure varies significantly in quality throughout the borough and even recently constructed routes have issues and inconsistencies. Therefore will the council commit to a cycle design standard for the borough in-line with best practice? Whilst it has some deficiencies, our suggestion would be to adopt the London Cycling Design Standards, as this is already written, has DfT approval, is proven at increasing cycling's modal share and as the borough borders London it would help to ensure a level of consistency for all road users. To further aid consistency will the council confirm whether they already follow Highways England guidance 195/16 to ensure cycle provision on major roads within the borough and if not, whether this could be adopted?

## Questions from members of the public

**Response:** The county council's design team make reference to the London Cycling Design Standards, the Welsh Active Travel design guidance and any other relevant design guidance and best practice when developing new schemes. Funding would need to be allocated by the local committee to improve the standard of existing facilities that may not be compliant with the latest standards and best practice.

- Lots of residential roads in the borough would be useful cycle routes but the combination of parking and rat-running traffic make them unsafe and unpleasant. In outer London 20mph limits, modal filtering and cycle contra-flows have proved successful in making these routes significantly better for active travel. These are low-cost interventions, which can be easily trialled before permanent introduction and often prove popular with residents who get a quieter less polluted street as a result. Is the council open to looking at the feasibility of this within the borough and if so working with us on potential trial sites?

**Response:** Suggestions for such schemes would need local support and could be considered by the local committee. Officers would be happy to advise upon the likely viability of any proposals that could then be presented to the local committee for consideration for funding for more detailed feasibility work. The committee will need to weigh up suggestions for such schemes against other requests for highway improvements across the Borough.

- From our early feedback, one transport issue residents feel strongly about further opening up active travel to schools and other educational institutions in the borough. The difference in traffic during school holidays illustrates the impact the school-run traffic has on local congestion. However, with few safe routes and anti-social parking and driving near schools, parents who would happily walk or cycle don't see it as a pleasant, safe or viable option and consequently drive further adding to congestion, road danger and air pollution around schools. Will the council commit to investigating and working with us on potential solutions which properly enable active travel to schools within the borough?

**Response:** The county council's Safer Travel Team work with Surrey's schools on a range of initiatives to promote sustainable and active travel. The team also lead on a Road Safety Outside Schools process (available on the county council's website) to consider potential infrastructure improvements to support active travel and improve road safety in the vicinity of schools. The team will be happy to consider any additional suggestions and will be in touch in due course. The local committee will need to weigh up suggestions for such schemes against other requests for highway improvements across the Borough.

-The A25 between Redhill and Reigate is a main traffic corridor and needs to form a key part of any cycle network but has multiple issues for the cycle user and a poor safety record. In the period 2012-2017 there have been 22 collisions resulting in injury to cycle users, 4 of them resulting in severe injuries. There is nothing currently in the forward plans regarding this stretch of the A25. Whilst the preferred solution for a road with this volume of traffic is grade segregated cycle ways, we understand budgets are limited and this may be a longer term goal. Therefore in the interim will the council commit to working with us to look at funding and delivering lower cost solutions such as increased parking controls and specific work on junctions to improve the safety of cycle users? We welcome the decision to introduce double yellow lines along the south side of the road between Chart Lane and Reigate Police Station opening up the cycle lane but further controls are needed along both sides to make sure the cycle lanes are usable. Also, could alternative measures such as a

[www.surreycc.gov.uk/reigateandbanstead](http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/reigateandbanstead)

Questions from members of the public

CPZ be considered as the paint on double yellow lines is a skid risk (especially in the wet) reducing the effective width of the cycle lane?

**Response:** Officers would be happy to meet with representatives of Cycle Redhill and Reigate to consider suggestions for improvements to specific locations. Potential schemes would need local support and would require funding from the local committee to undertake more detailed feasibility work and then further funding for implementation. The local committee will need to weigh up suggestions for such schemes against other requests for highway improvements across the Borough.

-Redhill centre is confusing for cycle access and permeability. There is a signed cycle route which goes through an area at the top of Marketfield Road with a traffic regulation order making it illegal to use. Station Road has signage indicating it is a permissible route but also a pedestrianisation order excluding all vehicles. The Borough Council has also introduced a PSPO which prohibits cycling along both routes (which we will address separately with them. The only entirely permissible way to cycle through the centre appears to be the busy gyratory. Will the council consider amending the Traffic Regulation Order on Marketfield Road and the Pedestrianisation Order on Station Road (if necessary with time restrictions to avoid pedestrian conflict) to permit cycle usage?

**Response:** Officers would be happy to meet Cycle Redhill and Reigate to clarify the restrictions for motor vehicles and cyclists on the routes in question, and if necessary consider amendments with Borough Council officers. Proposals will be presented to the local committee or Reigate & Banstead Borough Council for approval where required.

-Whilst as a group our focus is on utility cycling, leisure cycling is great for health and can be good for building confidence for new cyclists and children. Currently there isn't an obvious place within the borough for safe traffic free cycling. Will the council commit, with our assistance, to identifying funding and a suitable location for a circular family cycling route with an all-weather surface within the borough?

**Response:** Improvements have recently been implemented to National Cycle Route 21 which includes long sections of off road path suitable for family cycling between Redhill and Horley. It is hoped that improvements may also be possible on the sections north of Redhill in future years. The County Council are responsible for highways and rights of way whereas the Borough Council are responsible for Parks and recreation areas. Officers would be happy to receive suggestions for any family cycling routes and provide advice.

### **A25 Buckland Road Enhanced Gateway Scheme**

We have seen the installation of the islands and are worried about how these will impact on cycle users. The meeting minutes state *"Members raised the point that the A25 varied in width at different points, and asked for reassurance that the island would not be installed in one of the narrower sections, which would impede cyclists using the highway. In response officers explained these issues would have been considered as part of the design's safety audit and that a further one would be carried out at the point of construction. There was also an adjacent, dedicated cycle lane off the carriageway."*

One of the islands (the second one heading east towards Reigate) is positioned on curve on a particularly narrow part of the road, naturally pushing motor vehicles into the cycle lane and risking unsafe close passes. We would like to understand how

## Questions from members of the public

this was assessed in the safety audit as from experience it is going to be particularly unpleasant and potentially dangerous design for cyclists.

The minutes indicate that the decision was based on the advice from the council officer that there was a "dedicated cycle lane off the carriageway." As far as we are aware the only cycle lane is an on-carriageway advisory lane. Could the council advise where the dedicated cycle lane is or advise whether the decision to approve was taken based on incorrect information?

**Response:** The scheme provides an enhancement to the speed limit gateway and a physical narrowing of the road in place of existing central hatching. The aim of the scheme is to encourage greater compliance with the speed limit on this stretch of road that had suffered a number of collisions and where speeds have been measured and found to be excessive. If successful the scheme will improve the safety for all road users including cyclists. The provision of the central islands as part of the scheme is similar to the existing islands further to the east travelling towards Reigate town centre, and retains the width of the existing cycle lane and vehicle lane.

### A217 Cycle Route

A 700 signature petition was presented in November 2017 for a safe cycle route along the A217, at the time it was unclear whether money from the Department of Transport for Safer Roads funding could be applied and there was no other funding currently available to proceed. Clarification has now been sought regarding whether the Department for Transport Safer Roads Funding money applied for and can be used to also improve the safety of cycle and footways. See attached letters from Sir Paul Beresford, MP for Mole Valley and Jessie Norman, Under Secretary of State for Roads and local Transport, pointing out that improving safety for cyclists is a Government priority and the Department wants to encourage cycling through various initiatives. The letter states categorically that successful applicants can spend the Safer Roads Funding on any type of scheme or schemes to improve safety on the road in question.

Following this clarification, please can the committee provide an update on re-categorising and clearing the footway to be used as a shared route? The hedge along the Western side near Sandcross Lane has recently been cut back and it has been measured that the tarmac footway is between 2 and 3 metres wide along the full stretch and therefore could easily meet guidance for a shared use path.

An alternative has been suggested about providing a cycle path along Meath Green Lane. Whilst we welcome any additional cycle provisions, this should be as part of a network and would not work as an alternative to the A217 as cycle users generally prefer direct routes which connect facilities and amenities. It is also questionable how this would be made accessible for all as without modal segregation, the speed and volume of traffic along this route make it only an option for confident and experienced cyclists.

**Response:** The Department for Transport's Safer Roads Fund can be used to improve facilities for cycling or any other measure that would reduce casualties. However the application criteria required that bids had to demonstrate how the specific measures proposed would reduce the risk of collisions taking place on the route. Therefore any substantial investment in cycling facilities would need to show how an existing cycling casualty problem would be addressed. As this stretch of road did not have a very high level of cycling casualties, then such a substantial investment in cycling facilities would not meet the Department for Transport's criteria for this fund.

[www.surreycc.gov.uk/reigateandbanstead](http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/reigateandbanstead)

Questions from members of the public

There is limited funding for cutting back vegetation next to the highway, and this is prioritised on safety grounds. Sometimes, the trees and shrubs are on private land and in those cases the land owners will be contacted. Certain types of vegetation clearing is not possible between the spring and autumn, because of the bird nesting season and other environmental factors. Further details about vegetation cutting back can be found here:

<https://www.surreycc.gov.uk/roads-and-transport/roadworks-and-cleaning/report-a-highway-problem/trees-grass-and-vegetation/trees-and-shrubs-near-roads/what-is-our-responsibility-for-trees-and-vegetation>. There are no current plans to create a shared use pedestrian/cycle route at this location.

This page is intentionally left blank

Surrey Highways

## **Horizon 2 2017-2018**

Reigate & Banstead – version 3.2

15 February 2018

Horizon 2 is the name we are giving the Council's Asset Management Programme for the period 2017 to 2021.

## Horizon 2 – 2017/18 programme, Reigate & Banstead

### Horizon 2 ROADS programme

| Road No. | Road name          | Location   | Limits                                  | Length (metres) | Type of Work           | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme status    |
|----------|--------------------|------------|---|-----------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|
| D1304    | Allingham Road     | South Park | Sandcross Lane to Alexander Road        | 430             | Road Major Maintenance | 2017/18 | 3351               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1247    | Blackborough Close | Reigate    | Blackborough Road to end                | 110             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 1235               | <b>Completed</b> |
| A25      | Buckland Road      | Reigate    | Buckland Corner to Flanchford Road      | 824             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 3307               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1242    | Carlton Road       | Redhill    | Entire length                           | 1425            | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 3287               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1071    | Chalmers Road      | Banstead   | Woodmansterne Lane to end               | 290             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 1323               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1156    | Church Lane Drive  | Hooley     | Church Lane Drive to Church Lane Avenue | 275             | Road Major Maintenance | 2017/18 | 520                | <b>Completed</b> |

## Horizon 2 ROADS programme continued

| Road No. | Road name        | Location  | Limits                           | Length (metres) | Type of Work           | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme status    |
|----------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|
| D1250    | Clarence Walk    | Redhill   | Somerset Road to end             | 290             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 3325               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D593     | Court Lodge Road | Horley    | Vicarage Lane to Lee Street      | 1100            | Road Major Maintenance | 2017/18 | 3350               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1243    | Daneshill        | Redhill   | Entire length                    | 247             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 3292               | <b>Completed</b> |
| C136     | High Road        | Chipstead | Hogscross to Elmore Road         | 900             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 140                | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1272    | Linnell Road     | Redhill   | Entire length                    | 240             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 1466               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1043    | Preston Lane     | Tadworth  | Merland Rise to Epsom Lane North | 220             | Road Major Maintenance | 2017/18 | 3349               | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1224    | Priory Drive     | Reigate   | Park Lane East to end            | 310             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 1496               | <b>Completed</b> |

## Horizon 2 ROADS programme continued

| Road No. | Road name     | Location    | Limits                              | Length (metres) | Type of Work           | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme status  |
|----------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| A217     | Reigate Hill  | Reigate     | Rushworth Road to Brokes Road       | 573             | Road Major Maintenance | 2017/18 | 74                 | <b>Deferred</b><br>Due to the prioritisation of emergency works, we have not had the funding to carry out this scheme. This scheme will start between April 2018 and March 2019. |
| A240     | Reigate Road  | Burgh Heath | Asda Superstore to Home Farm Avenue | 450             | Road Major Maintenance | 2017/18 | 3101               | <b>Completed</b>   |
| C64      | Victoria Road | Horley      | Balcombe Road to Russells Crescent  | 530             | Road Surface Treatment | 2017/18 | 3297               | <b>Completed</b>   |

| Horizon 2 PAVEMENT programme |                   |                 |   |                 |                      |         |                    |  |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| Road No.                     | Road name         | Location        | Limits  | Length (metres) | Type of Work         | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme status  |
| D1043                        | Bidhams Crescent  | Tadworth        | Preston Lane to Preston Lane- Both Sides        | 740             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 357                | <b>Completed</b>   |
| B2034                        | Blackborough Road | Reigate         | Crakell Road to Ringley Park Avenue- both sides | 765             | Pavement Reconstruct | 2017/18 | 995                | <b>Completed</b>   |
| D1251                        | Blackthorn Close  | Reigate         | Blackthorn Road to end- both sides              | 247             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 422                | <b>Completed</b>   |
| C139                         | Chipstead Lane    | Lower Kingswood | Monkswell to Millfield Lane                     | 690             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 68                 | <b>Completed</b>   |
| D1085                        | Court Road        | Banstead        | Holly Lane to Avenue Road                       | 696             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 463                | <b>Deferred</b><br>This type of work requires warmer temperatures to allow the slurry material to set.<br>The scheme will therefore move to summer 2018. |
| D1027                        | Derby Close       | Epsom Downs     | Tattenham Grove to End- East                    | 210             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 1002               | <b>Completed</b>   |

## Horizon 2 PAVEMENT programme continued

| Road No. | Road name      | Location  | Limits   | Length (metres) | Type of Work         | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update  |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| D1133    | Gledhow Wood   | Kingswood | Full length  | 430             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 365                | <b>Completed</b>   |
| B2217    | High Street    | Banstead  | Bolters Lane to Chiltons Close-south side            | 550             | Pavement Reconstruct | 2017/18 | 1026               | <b>Deferred</b><br>Due to prioritisation of emergency works, we have not had the funding to carry out this scheme. This scheme will start between April 2018 and March 2019. |
| D351     | Lumley Road    | Horley    | Brighton Road to electoral ward boundary- both sides | 450             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 1020               | <b>Completed</b>   |
| D1224    | Park Lane East | Reigate   | Reigate Park Church to junction with Priory Drive    | 620             | Pavement Slurry Seal | 2017/18 | 427                | <b>Deferred</b><br>This type of work requires warmer temperatures to allow the slurry material to set. The scheme will therefore move to summer 2018.                        |
| D1002    | Warren Road    | Banstead  | Fir Tree Road to Nork Way- both sides                | 2240            | Pavement Reconstruct | 2017/18 | 723                | <b>Completed</b>   |

| Horizon 2 STRUCTURES programme |                  |          |   |                 |              |         |                    |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------|---|-----------------|--------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| Road No.                       | Road name        | Location | Limits  | Length (metres) | Type of Work | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update  |
| D1264                          | Linkfield Street | Redhill  | Baxter Avenue Retaining Wall- Major Maintenance     | N/A             | Structures   | 2017/18 | D1264/2278         | <b>Deferred</b><br>Additional investigation required which has delayed scheme.<br>This scheme will start between April 2018 and March 2019.  |
| N/A                            | Tunnel Road      | Reigate  | Reigate Tunnel-Assessment                           | N/A             | Structures   | 2017/18 | 25345033           | <b>Expected to take place before April 2018</b><br>Results will identify if any repairs or strengthening are required. Any works required will be costed and prioritised for work in future works lists. |
| A217/20                        | Reigate Hill     | Reigate  | Reigate Hill Road Retaining Wall – Remedial options | N/A             | Structures   | 2017/18 |                    | <b>Expected to start before April 2018</b><br>Results will identify if any repairs or strengthening are required. Any works required will be costed and prioritised for work in future works lists.      |

## Horizon 2 TRAFFIC SIGNALS programme

| Road No.     | Road name     | Location    | Limits                        | Length (metres) | Type of Work    | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update    |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|
| A217         | Brighton Road | Tadworth    | Junction with Tadworth Street | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J911               | <b>Completed</b> |
| A217         | Brighton Road | Tadworth    | Junction with Bonsor Drive    | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J912               | <b>Completed</b> |
| A217         | Brighton Road | Tadworth    | Junction with Dorking Road    | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J913               | <b>Completed</b> |
| A217/B2221   | Brighton Road | Burgh Heath | Tattenham Way                 | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J951               | <b>Completed</b> |
| A23/C64/D334 | Brighton Road | Horley      | Victoria Road/Vicarage Lane   | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J914               | <b>Completed</b> |

## Horizon 2 TRAFFIC SIGNALS programme continued

| Road No.   | Road name     | Location    | Limits          | Length (metres) | Type of Work    | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update   |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|---|
| A217/B2219 | Brighton Road | Burgh Heath | Garretts Lane   | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J923               | <b>Deferred</b> - Having reviewed with Siemens the completion of recent traffic signals refurbishments, we have now reviewed the deliverability of what is a complex ongoing refurbishment programme and have taken the decision to defer these refurbishments until such time that both Surrey CC and Siemens Officers are confident the refurbishments can be satisfactorily completed whilst providing best value. |
| A23        | Brighton Road | Redhill     | Near Brook Road | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | P908               | <b>Completed</b>  |
| A23/A217   | Brighton Road | Horley      | London Road     | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J904               | <b>Deferred</b> - Having reviewed with Siemens the completion of recent traffic signals refurbishments, we have now reviewed the deliverability of what is a complex ongoing refurbishment programme and have taken the decision to defer these refurbishments until such time that both Surrey CC and Siemens Officers are confident the refurbishments can be satisfactorily completed whilst providing best value. |

| Horizon 2 TRAFFIC SIGNALS programme continued |                   |           |                             |                 |                 |         |                    |   |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|---|
| Road No.                                      | Road name         | Location  | Limits                      | Length (metres) | Type of Work    | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update   |
| A217/A2022                                    | Brighton Road     | Banstead  | Bolters Lane/ Fir Tree Road | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J933               | <b>Completed</b>  |
| A242  | Croydon Road      | Reigate   | (Reigate Fire Station)      | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | F901               | <b>Deferred</b> - Having reviewed with Siemens the completion of recent traffic signals refurbishments, we have now reviewed the deliverability of what is a complex ongoing refurbishment programme and have taken the decision to defer these refurbishments until such time that both Surrey CC and Siemens Officers are confident the refurbishments can be satisfactorily completed whilst providing best value. |
| A217  | Dovers Green Road | Woodhatch | Near Sandcross Lane         | NA              | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | P906               | <b>Completed</b>  |

## Horizon 2 TRAFFIC SIGNALS programme continued

| Road No.   | Road name    | Location    | Limits   | Length (metres) | Type of Work    | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update   |
|------------|--------------|-------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|---|
| C222/D1266 | Hooley Lane  | Redhill     | Brook Road                                     | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J946               | <b>Completed</b>  |
| A240       | Reigate Road | Burgh Heath | Junction with Tattenham Way / Great Tattenhams | N/A             | Traffic Signals | 2017/18 | J936               | <b>Deferred</b> - Having reviewed with Siemens the completion of recent traffic signals refurbishments, we have now reviewed the deliverability of what is a complex ongoing refurbishment programme and have taken the decision to defer these refurbishments until such time that both Surrey CC and Siemens Officers are confident the refurbishments can be satisfactorily completed whilst providing best value. |

## Horizon 2 EMBANKMENTS programme

No schemes currently prioritised

| Horizon 2 DRAINAGE programme |               |             |   |                 |              |         |                    |                           |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---|-----------------|--------------|---------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Road No.                     | Road name     | Location    | Limits  | Length (metres) | Type of Work | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update             |
| A217                         | Brighton Road | Burgh Heath |   | N/A             | Drainage     | 2017/18 | Rei024             | <b>Scheme in progress</b> |
| B2032                        | Outwood Lane  | Chipstead   | New drainage system and lagoon on Outwood Lane. Continuation of works started in late 16/17 | N/A             | Drainage     | 2017/18 | Rei006             | <b>Scheme in progress</b> |

## Horizon 2 SAFETY BARRIERS programme

| Road No. | Road name     | Location | Limits                                    | Length (metres) | Type of Work   | Year    | Surrey Highways ID | Scheme update    |
|----------|---------------|----------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|
| C224     | Frenches Road | Redhill  | C224-001 Barrier Site- Crash barrier work | N/A             | Safety Barrier | 2017/18 | C224-001           | <b>Completed</b> |

## Safety Defect Resurfacing & Patching (Funded by Department for Transport grant) January – March 2018

| Road No. | Road name      | Location | Limits                              | Type of Work                | Year | Scheme status    |
|----------|----------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------------------|
| D350     | Albert Road    | Horley   | From Brighton Road to Infant School | Carriageway surfacing works | 2018 | <b>Completed</b> |
| D1092    | Bakehouse Road | Horley   | From no 7-9                         | Carriageway surfacing works | 2018 | <b>Completed</b> |

| Safety Defect Resurfacing & Patching (Funded by Department for Transport grant) January – March 2018 - continued |                    |           |   |                             |      |   |
|--|--------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------|------|---|
| Road No.   | Road name          | Location  | Limits  | Type of Work                | Year | Scheme status   |
| D1074  | Chipstead Way      | Chipstead | Large scale patching of sections at the start and end of Chipstead Way. | Carriageway surfacing works | 2018 | <b>Delayed</b><br>Postponed due to weather. Being re-programmed New date to be confirmed.               |
| D1156  | Church Lane Avenue | Hooley    | Full length   | Carriageway surfacing works |      | <b>Completed</b>  |
| B278   | Rectory Lane       | Chipstead | Large scale patching of various sections of Rectory Lane.               | Carriageway surfacing works | 2018 | <b>Scheduled</b><br>Works provisionally programmed for 5-7 March but works dependent on warmer weather. |

## Horizon 2 MAJOR TRANSPORT SCHEMES / SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT PACKAGE / NETWORK RESILIENCE programme

| Scheme name                     | Location  | Other information  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| A24 / A217 Strategic Management | Various sections along A24 / A217 in Redhill and Salfords | <b>Currently taking place</b><br>Scheme includes several phases of resurfacing and drainage works along the A24 and A217. Works expected to carry on up to September 2019. |

## Highways England Smart Motorway Schemes

| Scheme name        | Location               | Other information  |
|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| M23 Smart Motorway | M23, junctions 8 to 10 | <b>Scheduled to start March 2018</b><br>Upgrading the 11 mile stretch of the M23 near Gatwick Airport, between junction 8 near Merstham and junction 10 at Copthorne, to an all-lane running smart motorway. Expecting to finish January 2020. |